

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/771,882	02/04/2004	Larry L. Lutton	VC-99-026-A	3750	
42419	7590 08/10/2005		EXAMINER		
PAULEY PETERSEN & ERICKSON 2800 WEST HIGGINS ROAD			ALLEN, ANDRE J		
SUITE 365				PAPER NUMBER	
HOFFMAN E	HOFFMAN ESTATES, IL 60195				
			DATE MAILED: 08/10/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	10/771,882	LUTTON ET AL.	(m)			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Andre J. Allen	2855				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	fress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely, the mailing date of this con D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 Fe	ebruary 2004.					
,	action is non-final.					
, —						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National S	Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8-9-04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,4,6-10 and 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nelson et al (US 6439061).

Regarding claim 1 Nelson et al teaches a) a simple probe (col. 9 lines 5-10) tube located in an area of low turbulence, high velocity airflow of the HVAC airflow; the simple probe tube connected to a pressure sensor transducer 25; And whereby the signal from the transducer can be used to monitor the airflow (col. 9 line 14)(col. 10 lines 15) of the HVAC application.

Art Unit: 2855

Regarding claim 4 Nelson et al teaches the low turbulence, high velocity airflow area is a flow ring 24 surrounding a blower motor.

Regarding claims 6-8 Nelson teaches a differential electronic (inherent) pressure sensor/transducer (abstract).

Regarding claim 9 Nelson et al teaches a second tube 60 connected at a second side of the pressure sensor for a reference pressure.

Regarding claims 10 and 13 Nelson et al teaches the simple probe 25 tube is part of an assembly comprising a clip 38 for attachment of the simple probe tube to an inside surface of the flow ring 24 where the flow ring has the highest velocity.

Regarding claim 12 Nelson et al teaches the HVAC airflow is monitored and controlled from the pressure sensor transducer and in conjunction with other data (col. 3 lines 50-55)(col. 4 lines 1-30).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/771,882 Page 4

Art Unit: 2855

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 2-5 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nelson et al (US 6439061) in view of Kettler (US 6079627).

Regarding claims 2-5 and 11 Nelson teaches the basic features of the claimed invention, except the pressure sensor transducer is connected to a variable speed motor controller, a blower motor for monitoring and controlling the HVAC airflow directly from the sensor/transducer. Kettler teaches the pressure sensor transducer is connected to a variable speed

Art Unit: 2855

motor controller 20 22, a blower motor 36 for monitoring and controlling the HVAC airflow (col. 3 lines 57-58) directly from the sensor It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art of HVAC systems at the time the invention was made to modify the system taught by Nelson et al to include a variable speed motor and a blower motor for monitoring and controlling the HVAC airflow directly from the sensor as taught by Kettler for the purpose of controlling airflow in an HVAC system (col. 3 line 56).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andre J. Allen whose telephone number is 571-272-2174. The examiner can normally be reached on mon-fri 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on 571-272-2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2855

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

André Allen Patent Examiner Art Unit 2855 EDWARD JEFKOWITZ SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800